

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET		CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/849,437 05/07/2001		5/07/2001	Masamitsu Nakaminami	0071/007001 8635		
22893	7590	07/17/2002				
	SMITH PATENT OFFICE  EXAMINER  1001 PENNSYI VANIA AVENUE N W					
1901 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N W SUITE 200				MILLER,	BENA B	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
				3712		
			DATE MAILED: 07/17/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/849,437		NAKAMINAMI ET AL.	
	and A diam Comments				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Bena Miller	over she t with the	orrespond nce address	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the C	oval sua caudi di G	C., 30pc 1100 = 32. 111	
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Fairly	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, by within the statutor will apply and will e	however, may a reply be tirry minimum of thirty (30) day xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	i.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07	<u>May 2001</u> .			
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) The	his action is n			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under tion of Claims	rance except f Ex parte Qua	for formal matters, payle, 1935 C.D. 11,	rosecution as to the merits i 453 O.G. 213.	IS
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application	n.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from cons	sideration.		
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.				
7)					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election re	quirement.		
Applica	tion Papers				
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	usaaaa haadha Es	ominer	
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to acc	epted or b) 🔲 (	objected to by the Ex	anniner. See 37 CFR 1 85(a)	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	ine drawing(s) ا	proved b) disann	roved by the Examiner.	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			Tovou by the Examination	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r		ice action.		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	-^anille.			
Priority —	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	an nainaitu unu	40r 25 11 S C & 119	(a)-(d) or (f)	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gii pilonty und	Jei 33 O.S.O. 3 119	(4) (3) 0: (1):	
a	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	nto house hos-	a received		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have beer	received in Applica	ation No	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts nave beer	nte have been received	ved in this National Stage	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr application from the International E See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certif	rule 17.2(a)). ied copies not recei	ved.	
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional applica	ition).
	a) The translation of the foreign language parts and the comment is made of a claim for dome	provisional ap	plication has been r	eceived.	
Attachm					
1) NO	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	·) ·	4) Interview Summ 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)	_·

Application/Control Number: 09/849,437

Art Unit: 3712

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1, 7 and 11, the examiner is not sure as to what is meant by the phrase "farther from the operator". The examiner is not sure of the location of the operator. For example only, it is unclear as to what position defines the operator as being farther from the headstock. Again, in claim 7, it is unclear as to what position defines the operator as being closer to the tool posts.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 7, 4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Matthey.

Regarding claims 1 and 7, Matthey teaches in figures 1-4 a machine tool comprising a fixed bed (1), a pair of tool posts (17 and 22; it is noted that turrets 17 and

Application/Control Number: 09/849,437

Art Unit: 3712

22 are considered to be the posts), carriages (14, 19) and a headstock base (6) configured as claimed.

Regarding claims 4 and 8, Matthey further teaches that the one of the carriages are disposed on a left side and the other disposed on the right side of the fixed bed in figure 1.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 3, 5, 6 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matthey in view of Kosho et al.

Matthey fails to teach a chip collecting opening and a tunnel formed in the fixed bed.

Kosho teaches in figures 1-9 a lathe having bed body 2 formed by a box-like rear body portion 3 and a box-like front body portions 4. Box-like front body portions 4 forms a cut materials discharging space 20 as seen in figures 1 and 2. Once the materials enter space 20 the materials are discharged in discharging space 20, which is located in the rear portion of base body 2 (col. 6, par. 5 and 6). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a chip collecting opening and a tunnel as taught by Kosho in the fixed bed of Matthey since

Page 4

Kosho teaches that such modification would receive cut materials which are discharged during cutting processing (col. 6, paragraph 5).

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bazuin teaches a turret lathe. Fetty teaches a radial universal tool. Minagawa teaches a carriage structure of NC lathe. Niedbala et al teach a machine tool.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bena Miller whose telephone number is 703.305.0643. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

bbm July 13, 2002

> Jacob K. Ackun Primary Examiner